Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/779,319	DRESSER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHEELA C. CHAWAN	2624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Brian Werner (SPE 2624)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Chris Spence (Reg # 58,806).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 June 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 7 and 8		
Prior art documents discussed: Lauer (US. 7,209,287)		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Sheela C Chawan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/779,319

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The restriction requirement of 6/13/08 was mailed in error, and is hereby withdrawn. Agreement was reached to cancel claims 7-8, and pass claims 14-19 to issue. The claims would be cancelled by examiner's amendment; and due to a miscommunication in the month of April when the examiner intended to propose cancellation of claims 7-8, no extension of time is necessary. Applicant's filling of an interview summary is not required (optional).